

# **Recursion and problem solving**

## **Functional Programming in Haskell**

Prof Hans Georg Schaathun

Høgskolen i Ålesund

18th January 2016

# Outline

Motivation

Defining functions

Modularisation

Recursion

Summary

# Problem Solving

*How do you eat an elephant?*

# Problem Solving

*How do you eat an elephant?*

1. Take one small piece and eat it.
2. If there is more elephant left, then repeat from start.

# Functional Programming

*How do you write a functional program?*

# Functional Programming

*How do you write a functional program?*

1. Write one small, useful function.
2. If your last function does not complete the program, then repeat from start.

# Outline

Motivation

Defining functions

Modularisation

Recursion

Summary

## Simple functions

- Functions can be exceedingly simple
  - `addTwo :: Integer -> Integer`
  - `addTwo a = a + 2`
- Functions can have several arguments
  - `polynomial :: Double -> Double -> Double`
  - `polynomial a b = 2*a^2 + 3*b^2 + a*b + a + 10*b - 50`
- Functions may be exceedingly messy
- Functions **should be simple and comprehensible**
- Ten simple functions is better than one incomprehensible one



# Pattern Matching

- Function evaluation using pattern matching
  - matching actual arguments in the function call
  - ... against formal arguments in the function definition
- For instance
  - Definition: `mul a b = a*b`
  - Call: `mul 5 10`
    1.  $a \leftarrow 5$
    2.  $b \leftarrow 10$

## Patterns with Constants

- Formal arguments need not be simple symbols
  - `funny 0 b = -b`
  - `funny a 0 = a^2`
  - `funny a b = b*a`
- The call `funny 5 10` uses the third definition
  - First definition invalid, because 5 does not match 0
  - Second definition invalid, because 10 does not match 0
  - $a \leftarrow 5, b \leftarrow 10$  is OK
- The **first** valid pattern is used
- A common example
  - `myXOR False x = x`
  - `myXOR True x = not x`

# Guards

- Pattern matching allows definition of multiple cases
  - not all case handling can be done with patterns

— `myAbs a | a < 0 = -a`

— `myAbs a | a > 0 = a`

— `myAbs a | otherwise =  
0`

$$|a| = \begin{cases} -a, & a < 0, \\ a, & a > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- The first guard which evaluates to true is used.
- `otherwise` is an alias for `True`

## Combining Guards in one Definition

- Usually we combine all guard in one definition

```
myAbs a | a < 0      = -a
        | a > 0      = a
        | otherwise  = 0 |a| =  $\begin{cases} -a, & a < 0, \\ a, & a > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 
```

- Note the indentation of the guard lines (Lines 2–3)
  - this is necessary to let Haskell know that it is part of the same definitions as Line 1.

## Local definitions

- Auxiliary definitions are often seen in mathematics

$$f(x) = \cos y + \sin y, \quad \text{where} \quad (1)$$

$$y = x^2. \quad (2)$$

- Local definitions in Haskell follow the same pattern

```
f x = cos y + sin y
      where y = x^2
```

- Local definitions can only be used in the definition where they appear
- The linebreak is optional, and can be placed elsewhere

## Function types

- Functions of several parameters
  - `myAdd :: Double -> Double -> Double`
- Why do we use arrows twice?

# Function types

- Functions of several parameters
  - `myAdd :: Double -> Double -> Double`
- Why do we use arrows twice?
- Actually, `myAdd` takes one `Double`
  - returns a function of type `Double -> Double`
  - ... which in turn takes a second double to return the third double

## Function types

- Functions of several parameters
  - `myAdd :: Double -> Double -> Double`
- Why do we use arrows twice?
- Actually, `myAdd` takes one `Double`
  - returns a function of type `Double -> Double`
  - ... which in turn takes a second double to return the third double
- **Partial application** is possible
  - `myAdd 3` is a function `Double -> Double`

```
*Main> :type myAdd 3
myAdd 3 :: Double -> Double
```



# Outline

Motivation

Defining functions

**Modularisation**

Recursion

Summary

# Modularation

- Problems are always solved in parts
- A module is a part solution
  - functional programs: **functions**
  - OO programming: **classes** (object **types**)
  - mathematical arguments:
    1. quantities
    2. functions
    3. concepts
- Each module must be easy to understand
  - intuitive purpose
  - comprehensible definition
- Modules may be defined in terms of other modules

# Functional programming

# Outline

Motivation

Defining functions

Modularisation

**Recursion**

Summary

# Recursion

- Many functions are defined in terms of themselves
- Fibonacci sequence
  - $f_0 = 1$
  - $f_1 = 1$
  - $f_i = f_{i-1} + f_{i-2}$  when  $i \geq 2$
- This is called **recurrence**

$$f_0 = 1$$

$$f_1 = 1$$

$$f_n = f_{(n-1)} + f_{(n-2)}$$

# The Bisection Method

- Solve an equation  $0 = f(x)$
- Linear and quadratic equations are simple
- For many other equations we need numeric solutions
- The bisection method is one of the simplest
- Requires a known interval  $(l, u)$  to search for a solution
  - $f(l) \cdot f(u) < 0$
- If  $u - l$  is very small, then either  $u$  or  $l$  is an approximate solution

# The Bisection Method

- If  $u - l$  is very small,
  - then either  $u$  or  $l$  is an approximate solution
- If  $u - l$  is not small enough,
  - find  $m = (u + l)/2$
  - is the root in  $(l, m)$  or in  $(m, u)$ ?
  - repeat recursively on half the interval

# Outline

Motivation

Defining functions

Modularisation

Recursion

Summary



# Summary

- **Split a problem** into smaller pieces
  - standard approach to problem solving
- When the subproblem is simple enough, write a function
- Combine simple functions to solve larger problems
- Often functions can call themselves **recursively**
  - standard way to define functions in any paradigm
  - necessary way to get iteration in functional programming
  - common way to define mathematical functions