Security models Partial orders applied

Prof Hans Georg Schaathun

Høgskolen i Ålesund

Autumn 2013 - Session 1/5 (2)



Prof Hans Georg Schaathun

Security models

Autumn 2013 - Session 1/5 (2) 1 / 8

Security models

One of many approaches to information security

Two sets

Subjects *S* e.g. users Objects *O* e.g. files

- How do you manage access to files?
- Given $s \in S$ and $o \in O$
 - is s allowed to read o?
 - how do you know?



A naïve view

• This is just a relation $A \subset S \times O$

- $(s, o) \in A$ if and only if s may read o
- Intractible.
 - You may have to store every element of A.



Prof Hans Georg Schaathun

Security models

Autumn 2013 - Session 1/5 (2) 3 / 8

A naïve view

- This is just a relation $A \subset S \times O$
 - $(s, o) \in A$ if and only if s may read o
- Intractible.
 - You may have to store every element of A.



Security labels

The total order case

- We can assign security labels (from a set *L*):
 - Top Secret
 - 2 Secret
 - Confidential
 - Unclassified
- Total order

TopSecret < Secret < Confidential < Unclassified

- Each subject has a security clearance $c: S \rightarrow L$
- Each object has an access level $c: O \rightarrow L$
- s may read o if $c(s) \ge c(o)$

The partial order case

- Same logic aswith the total order
 - s may read o if $c(s) \ge c(o)$
- If s and o are incomparable, there is no access

Thus you can separate departments.

- There is no global 'Top Secret' clearance
- Labels from one department may be incomparable to those of another

- A set of departments: *G* = {EE, Comp, Math}
- A security label is a subset *L* ⊂ *G*
- Partial ordering: ⊂
- For instance
 - *c*(*s*) = {EE, Comp}
 - *c*(*o*) = {EE}
 - $c(o) \subset c(s)$: access granted

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Combination



Prof Hans Georg Schaathun

Security models

Autumn 2013 - Session 1/5 (2) 7 / 8

Summary

- Example of application
- No details in this module
- Reasoning over security models
- Partial orders provide formalism